JENA ECONOMIC RESEARCH PAPERS

2008 – 072

The exponential age distribution and the Pareto firm size distribution

by

Alex Coad

www.jenecon.de

ISSN 1864-7057

The JENA ECONOMIC RESEARCH PAPERS is a joint publication of the Friedrich Schiller University and the Max Planck Institute of Economics, Jena, Germany. For editorial correspondence please contact markus.pasche@uni-jena.de.

Impressum:

Friedrich Schiller University Jena
Carl-Zeiss-Str. 3
D-07743 Jena
www.uni-jena.de

Max Planck Institute of Economics
Kahlaische Str. 10
D-07745 Jena
www.econ.mpg.de

© by the author.

The exponential age distribution and the Pareto firm size distribution

Alex Coad ^{a *} ^a Max Planck Institute of Economics, Jena, Germany

September 19, 2008

Abstract

Recent work drawing on data for large and small firms has shown a Pareto distribution of firm size. We mix a Gibrat-type growth process among incumbents with an exponential distribution of firm's age, to obtain the empirical Pareto distribution.

JEL codes: L20, L25

Keywords: Firm size distribution, Firm growth, Gibrat's Law, Pareto distribution, Zipf Law

**Corresponding Author:* Alex Coad, Max Planck Institute of Economics, Evolutionary Economics Group, Kahlaische Strasse 10, D-07745 Jena, Germany. *Phone:* +49 3641 686822. *Fax:* +49 3641 686868. *E-mail:* coad@econ.mpg.de

1 Introduction

Early models of industrial dynamics focused on firms above a certain size threshold, because data on larger firms was easier to obtain. These studies generally observed a lognormal size distribution, that can be explained by a Gibrat process. More recently, work that takes young, small firms into account observes a Zipf distribution of firm size (Axtell (2001), for surveys see de Wit (2005) and Coad (2009)). The explanation suggested by Axtell (2001) consists of a Kesten process in which firm sizes are bounded from below (Kesten (1973)). The combination of a Gibrat random growth model and lower bounds on firm sizes is seen to produce the Zipf distribution (which is a special case of the Pareto distribution). A drawback of this mechanism, however, is that firms are implicitly assumed to all be of the same age, which is of course quite unrealistic. In this model, we begin with a Gibrat-type process, but we relax the restriction that firms are all of the same age. Instead, we posit an exponential distribution of firm age. Mixing these two distributions (Gibrat process for incumbents and an exponential distribution of firm age) yields the observed power law distribution.

The age distribution of firms is considered in Section 2, before an exponential age distribution is combined with a Gibrat growth process to obtain the Pareto firm size distribution (Section 3).

2 Age distribution

We can only begin this section by mentioning that the age distribution of a population of firms has barely been investigated in empirical work. However, we consider the age distribution to be an interesting feature of industrial structure. The age distribution may be of direct interest in theoretical models of the firm size distribution (such as the model presented here), as well as providing indirect information on a number of phenomena such as entry rates, survival rates, and possibly even the age of technology used in production.

To the best of our knowledge, the only representations of the age distribution of firms can be found in Coad and Tamvada (2008) and Segarra et al. (2008). Coad and Tamvada (2008) focus on census data covering around 700'000 small scale firms in India, while Segarra et al. (2008) focus on a sample of about 85'000 firms taken from the Spanish Mercantile Register. Figures 1 and 2 show the corresponding age distributions. The shape of the distribution appears to follow an approximately straight line with negative slope over most of the support. Given that the y -axis is expressed in logarithms, this straight line suggests that an exponential distribution would be a valid approximation of the empirical age distribution.

Figure 1: Kernel density of the age distribution of Indian small scale industries. Kernel density computed for equispaced points using an Epanenchnikov kernel (using gbutils 5.2). Taken from Coad and Tamvada (2008).

Figure 2: Kernel density of the age distribution of Spanish firms. Kernel density computed for equispaced points using an Epanenchnikov kernel (using gbutils 5.2). Source: author's elaboration of the data in Segarra et al. (2008), page 104.

3 Pareto firm size distribution

We now turn to our model of the firm size distribution.

Let x_t be the size of a firm at time t , and let ε_t be random variable representing an iid idiosyncratic, multiplicative growth shock over the period $t - 1$ to t , with mean $\bar{\varepsilon}$. We have

$$x_t - x_{t-1} = \varepsilon_t x_{t-1} \quad (1)$$

which can be developed to obtain

$$x_t = (1 + \varepsilon_t)x_{t-1} = x_0(1 + \varepsilon_1)(1 + \varepsilon_2) \dots (1 + \varepsilon_t) \quad (2)$$

It is then possible to take logarithms in order to approximate $\log(1 + \varepsilon_t)$ by ε_t to obtain¹

$$\log(x_t) \approx \log(x_0) + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_t = \log(x_0) + \sum_{s=1}^t \varepsilon_s \quad (3)$$

In the limit, as t becomes large, the $\log(x_0)$ term will become insignificant, and we obtain:

$$\log(x_t) \approx \sum_{s=1}^t \varepsilon_s \quad (4)$$

Central Limit Theorem implies that $\log(x_t)$ is normally distributed, which means that firm size (i.e. x_t) is lognormally distributed:

¹This logarithmic approximation is only justified if ε_t is 'small' enough (i.e. close to zero), which can be reasonably assumed by taking a short time period (Sutton (1997)).

$$P(x_t) = \frac{1}{x_t \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\left(\frac{(\ln x_t - \bar{\varepsilon}t)^2}{2\sigma^2 t}\right)} \quad (5)$$

In this paper, we will no longer assume that t has the same value for all firms. Instead, we suggest that t is itself a random variable. In the light of the evidence presented above, it seems reasonable to assume the distribution of firm age to be exponentially distributed. If t is exponentially distributed, we have:

$$P(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \quad (6)$$

In order to obtain the mixture of these two distributions, we apply the following rule: if the distribution of a variable a , $p(a, b)$, depends on a parameter b which in turn is distributed according to its own distribution $r(b)$, then the distribution of a is given by $p(a) = \int r(b) \cdot p(a, b) db$ (Adamic and Huberman (1999); Huberman and Adamic (1999)).

This gives us the following:

$$P(x_t) = \int \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \cdot \frac{1}{x_t \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\left(\frac{(\ln x_t - \bar{\varepsilon}t)^2}{2\sigma^2 t}\right)} dt \quad (7)$$

and, as in Adamic and Huberman (1999), this can be developed to yield:

$$P(x_t) = C \cdot x_t^{-\beta} \quad (8)$$

where C is a constant and is given by $C = \lambda/\sigma(\sqrt{(\bar{\varepsilon}/\sigma)^2 + 2\lambda})$. The exponent β is in the range $[1, \infty]$ and is determined by $\beta = 1 - \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\sqrt{(\bar{\varepsilon}^2 + 2\lambda\sigma^2)}}{\sigma^2}$. When the mean growth rate is close to 0%, $\bar{\varepsilon}$ will be close to 1. As a result, if λ is small (implying that the exponential decay is relatively weak, i.e. that it is not uncommon to find firms with an age much greater than one)², and if σ is small (which is not implausible either), then the exponent β will be close to Zipf's value of 1, which has been observed in empirical work (Axtell (2001)).

²This condition is trivial since the duration t of a Gibrat-type 'shock' can be made arbitrarily short.

References

- Adamic, L. A. and Huberman, B. A. (1999). The nature of markets in the world wide web. SSRN working paper IEA5. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.166108.
- Axtell, R. L. (2001). Zipf distribution of US firm sizes. *Science*, 293:1818–1820.
- Coad, A. (2009). *The Growth of Firms: A Survey of Theories and Empirical Evidence*. Edward Elgar: Cheltenham, UK. forthcoming. ISBN: 978 1 84844 327 3.
- Coad, A. and Tamvada, J. P. (2008). The growth and decline of small firms in developing countries. Papers on Economics and Evolution 2008-08, Max Planck Institute of Economics, Evolutionary Economics Group.
- de Wit, G. (2005). Firm size distributions an overview of steady-state distributions resulting from firm dynamics models. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 23(5-6):423–450.
- Huberman, B. A. and Adamic, L. A. (1999). Growth dynamics of the world-wide web. *Nature*, 401:131.
- Kesten, H. (1973). Random difference equations and renewal theory for products of random matrices. *Acta Mathematica*, 131(1):207–248.
- Segarra, A., Teruel, M., Arauzo, J., and Iranzo, S. (2008). Dinámica empresarial, creación de empleo y productividad en las manufacturas españolas. Project for the Spanish government (Ministerio de Industria, Turismo y Comercio), Madrid.
- Sutton, J. (1997). Gibrat’s legacy. *Journal of Economic Literature*, XXXV:40–59.